Attribute Embedding with Visual-Semantic Ambiguity Removal for Zero-shot Learning

Yang Long¹ ylong2@sheffield.ac.uk Li Liu² li2.liu@northumbria.ac.uk Ling Shao² ling.shao@ieee.org

- ¹ Department of Electronic and Electrical Engineering The University of Sheffield Sheffield . UK
- ² Department of Computer and Information Sciences Northumbria University Newcastle upon Tyne, UK

Conventional *zero-shot learning* (ZSL) methods recognise an unseen instance by projecting its visual features to a semantic space that is shared by both seen and unseen categories [1, 2]. However, we observe that such a one-way paradigm suffers from the *visual-semantic ambiguity* problem. As shown in Fig. 1, the semantic concepts (e.g. attributes or classes) cannot explicitly correspond to visual patterns, and similar visual features may come from different classes. Such a problem can lead to a huge variance in the visual features for each attribute.

In this paper, we propose the Visual-Semantic Ambiguity Removal (VSAR) algorithm to address such a problem. In particular, we propose a novel latent attribute space \mathcal{V} to mitigate the gap between visual and semantic spaces \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{A} :

$$J = \|\mathcal{X} - U_1 \mathcal{V}\|_F^2 + \alpha \|\mathcal{A} - U_2 \mathcal{V}\|_F^2 + \lambda \mathcal{R}, \quad (1)$$

where U_1 and U_2 are two projection matrices. \mathcal{R} is a *Dual-graph* regularisation that combines two supervised graphs $W_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $W_{\mathcal{A}}$ that model the intrinsic data structures in \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{A} . In the embedding space \mathcal{V} , we expect that if the vertices in both graphs are connected, each pair of embedded points v_i and v_j are also closed to each other. However, for the *visual-semantic ambiguity* problem, $W_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $W_{\mathcal{A}}$ usually give contradictory results. To compromise such conflict, we linearly combine the two graphs, i.e. $W_{ij} = W_{\mathcal{X}_{ij}} + \alpha W_{\mathcal{A}_{ij}}$. The resulted regularisation is:

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \|v_i - v_j\|^2 W_{ij} = Tr(\mathcal{V}L\mathcal{V}^T), \quad (2)$$

where D is the degree matrix of W, $D_{ii} = \sum_i w_{ij}$. L is known as graph Laplacian matrix L = D - W



Figure 1: Visual Ambiguity (in blue oval): the image of a carriage is taken with a building background. It cannot recover the semantic distance (blue question mark) to the building category. Semantic Ambiguity (in red oval): the cup printed with a wolf and the cup-like building share the same name which can lead to a large visual variance (the red question mark). After embedding to the latent attribute space using VSAR, such ambiguity is mitigated.

and Tr(.) computes the trace of a matrix.

Once we obtain the latent attribute embedding \mathcal{V} of the seen data, performing zeroshot recognition is straightforward via *least*square approximation between \mathcal{V} and $\{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}\}$. During the test, given unseen category names and their attributes in pairs: $\{\mathcal{Y}_u, \mathcal{A}_u\}$. We firstly embed all unseen attributes \mathcal{A}_u into the latent embedding space as references: $\mathcal{V}_u = \mathcal{V}\mathcal{A}^T(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}^T)^{-1}\mathcal{A}_u$. Given a test unseen instance \hat{x} , its embedded latent attribute representation is: $\hat{v} = \mathcal{V}\mathcal{X}^T(\mathcal{X}\mathcal{X}^T)^{-1}\hat{x}$. Finally, we adopt a simple NN classifier to predict the category label \hat{c} :

$$\hat{c} = \arg\min_{c} \|\hat{v} - v_{c}\|^{2}$$
, where $v_{c} \in \mathcal{V}_{u}$. (3)

- Zeynep Akata, Florent Perronnin, Zaid Harchaoui, and Cordelia Schmid. Label-embedding for attribute-based classification. In CVPR, 2013.
- [2] Christoph H Lampert, Hannes Nickisch, and Stefan Harmeling. Learning to detect unseen object classes by between-class attribute transfer. In *CVPR*, 2009.