## A Unified Bayesian Approach to Multi-Frame Super-Resolution and Single-Image Upsampling in Multi-Sensor Imaging

Thomas Köhler<sup>12</sup> thomas.koehler@fau.de Johannes Jordan<sup>1</sup> johannes.jordan@fau.de Andreas Maier<sup>12</sup> andreas.maier@fau.de Joachim Hornegger<sup>12</sup> joachim.hornegger@fau.de

Multi-sensor imaging has become an emerging field of research with a vast number of applications including color and multispectral cameras or 3-D range imaging to capture RGB-D data. One common property of these systems is that they provide *multi-channel* images that are, unfortunately, often limited in terms of their spatial resolution. However, channel-wise resolution enhancement might not be optimal as it ignores dependencies across the channels. This is obvious if structures are visible in multiple channels. For this reason, tailor-made approaches for single-image upsampling and multi-frame super-resolution have been investigated in different domains. Super-resolution of color images exploits the correlation of color channels as a prior to super-resolve them [2]. In multispectral imaging, super-resolution can be guided by panchromatic data [1]. A similar concept has been established in range imaging, where high-quality color images guide single-image upsampling [3, 5] or multi-frame super-resolution [6] of range images. To avoid the need of reliable guidance data, joint resolution enhancement of all channels has been proposed [4]. However, this formulation does only consider simplified setups with two image channels. Bayesian Multi-Sensor Model. This work introduces multi-frame superresolution and single-image upsampling that exploit correlations across complementary channels in a unified way. Unlike prior work, this framework is neither limited to a certain setup nor a fixed number of channels and does not require guidance data. Our framework is derived from a Bayesian model to infer a high-resolution multi-channel image x assembled from *n* channels  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  from multiple low-resolution multi-channel images

$$\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_K$$
. As a key idea, we formulate an image prior according to:  
 $p(\mathbf{x}_i \mid \mathcal{X}_i) \propto \exp\left\{-\left(\lambda_i R_{intra}(\mathbf{x}_i) + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n \mu_{ij} R_{inter}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j; \mathbf{\Phi}_{ij})\right)\right\},$  (1)

where  $\mathcal{X}_i = {\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{i-1}, \mathbf{x}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n}$  and consider two aspects: 1) Each channel  $\mathbf{x}_i$  is described by an intra-channel prior defined by the regularization term  $R_{\text{intra}}(\mathbf{x}_i)$  with the regularization weight  $\lambda_i \ge 0$ . 2) Dependencies across channels  $\mathbf{x}_i$  and  $\mathbf{x}_j$  are modeled by an inter-channel prior  $R_{\text{inter}}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j; \mathbf{\Phi}_{ij})$  with pair-wise weights  $\mu_{ij} \ge 0$  and hyperparameters  $\mathbf{\Phi}_{ij}$ . **Locally Linear Regression.** The inter-channel prior is defined by *locally linear regression* (LLR) that explains dependencies across channels by:

$$R_{\text{inter}}(\boldsymbol{x}_i, \boldsymbol{x}_j; \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{ij}) = \left| \left| \kappa(\boldsymbol{x}_i, \boldsymbol{x}_j) \odot \left( \boldsymbol{A}_{ij} \boldsymbol{x}_i + \boldsymbol{b}_{ij} - \boldsymbol{x}_j \right) \right| \right|_2^2, \quad (2)$$

where the hyperparameters  $\Phi_{ij}$  are given by filter coefficients  $A_{ij}$  and  $b_{ij}$  for each channel pair  $(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)$  and associated confidence weights  $\kappa(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)$ .



(a) Channel-wise

(b) Multi-channel [2] (c) Multi-channel proposed

Figure 1: Comparison of multi-frame color super-resolution (top row) and single-image upsampling of multispectral data (bottom row).

- <sup>1</sup> Pattern Recognition Lab, Department of Computer Science, Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg
- Erlangen, Germany
- <sup>2</sup> Erlangen Graduate School in Advanced Optical Technologies (SAOT)
- Erlangen, Germany



(a) Guided upsampling [5]
 (b) Channel-wise
 (c) Multi-channel proposed
 Figure 2: Single-image upsampling of RGB-D data.

This prior is spatially adaptive and tolerates outliers due to image regions that violate the LLR assumption. The inference of the hyperparameters  $\Phi_{ii}$  that are treated as latent variables as well as the multi-channel image  $\boldsymbol{x}$  are formulated as maximum a-posteriori (MAP) estimation. For this purpose, our paper presents an efficient alternating minimization scheme. Applications. We evaluated our unified approach for color-, multispectral and range imaging. In color and multispectral imaging, we compared the proposed inter-channel prior to conventional channel-wise processing as well as the color channel regularization of Farsiu et al. [2], see Fig. 1. Our multi-channel method got rid of color artifacts present in channel-wise super-resolution, e.g. jagged edges highlighted in Fig. 1 (top). Unlike [2] that erroneously copied structure from other, original channels to the reconstructed channel, this issue was avoided by our adaptive confidence weighting as highlighted for multispectral data in Fig. 1 (bottom). In range imaging, our method was evaluated for single-image upsampling, where we compared channel-wise and guided upsampling [5], see Fig. 2. Unlike [5], our multi-channel approach does not rely on high-quality color images as guidance. In particular, it achieved better reconstructions of surfaces and edges in range images compared to channel-wise and guided upsampling.

- M. Aguena and N. Mascarenhas. Multispectral image data fusion using POCS and super-resolution. *Computer Vision and Image Under*standing, 102(2):178–187, 2006.
- [2] S. Farsiu, M. Elad, and P. Milanfar. Multiframe demosaicing and superresolution of color images. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 15(1):141–159, 2006.
- [3] D. Ferstl, C. Reinbacher, R. Ranftl, M. Ruether, and H. Bischof. Image Guided Depth Upsampling Using Anisotropic Total Generalized Variation. In *Proc. IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 993–1000, 2013.
- [4] F. C. Ghesu, T. Köhler, S. Haase, and J. Hornegger. Guided image super-resolution: A new technique for photogeometric superresolution in hybrid 3-d range imaging. In *Pattern Recognition*, pages 227–238. Springer, 2014.
- [5] K. He, J. Sun, and X. Tang. Guided image filtering. *IEEE Transactions* on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 35(6):1397–409, 2013.
- [6] T. Köhler, S. Haase, S. Bauer, J. Wasza, T. Kilgus, L. Maier-Hein, C. Stock, J. Hornegger, and H. Feußner. Multi-sensor super-resolution for hybrid range imaging with application to 3-d endoscopy and open surgery. *Medical Image Analysis*, 24(1):220–234, 2015.