Stochastic visibility in point-sampled scenes

Miles Hansard http://www.eecs.qmul.ac.uk/~milesh/

This work introduces a new statistical model of visibility in point-sampled scenes, such as those constructed from multiple lidar or depth-camera scans. A *visibility density* is defined along each optical ray, which gives the probability that a non-occluded scene-point exists at any particular location, with respect to a given camera. The new approach avoids any commitment to a surface-mesh, in order to develop a more data-driven probabilistic model. Furthermore, this approach naturally allows for the existence of gaps and uncertainty in the point-cloud (see fig. 2).

The new model has potential applications to multi-view stereo problems, in which visibility is an essential component of the photometric reprojection error [3]. There are other potential applications to the graphical rendering of point-cloud data [2]. School of EECS Queen Mary, University of London London E1 4NS



Figure 2: A typical 3-D point-cloud, constructed from multi-view RGB-D scans [1], as used in the experimental evaluation.



1

Figure 1: The visibility density (3 & 7) shown in green, for two rays in the evaluation data-set. The target-point of each ray is indicated by a dot, colour-coded by its true state; blue means occluded, and red means visible. Orange curves show the occupancy density (2 & 4), while the blue polygon indicates the attenuating vacancy density (1 & 5). Vertical lines indicate global maxima along each ray. **Top**: the distant occluded target generates the occupancy maximum (orange), but not the visibility maximum (green). **Bottom**: the nearby visible target generates the visibility maximum (green), but not the occupancy maximum (orange).

It is essential to make a careful definition of visibility, for point-sampled scenes. Let **c** be the camera-centre, and let **u** be the direction of visual ray \mathcal{R} . Then the *event* that point $\mathbf{p}(t) = \mathbf{c} + t\mathbf{u}$ is visible will be denoted $(-\circ t | \mathcal{R})$, where the dot is intended to suggest the end of a visual ray. Visibility will now be defined as the conjunction of two events. Firstly, the ray segment $\mathbf{c} + r\mathbf{u}$, where $0 \le r < t$, should be *vacant*, i.e. free of occluders. Secondly, the point $\mathbf{c} + t\mathbf{u}$ should be *occupied*. The following notation will be used for these events:

$$(\varnothing_t | \mathcal{R}) \Leftrightarrow$$
 The ray-segment from **c** to **c** + t **u** is vacant (1)

$$\mathbb{1}_t | \mathcal{R} \Rightarrow$$
 The point $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{c} + t \mathbf{u}$ is occupied (2)

Hence the *probability* of the point $\mathbf{p}(t) = \mathbf{c} + t \mathbf{u}$ on ray \mathcal{R} being visible in scene S is the *product* of the vacancy and occupancy probabilities:

$$\operatorname{pr}(\operatorname{-o} t \mid \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{S}) \propto \operatorname{pr}(\varnothing_t \mid \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{S}) \times \operatorname{pr}(\mathbb{1}_t \mid \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{S}).$$
(3)

This density, which is a function of distance *t*, can now be developed in relation to the point-sampled scene model.

The scene S is represented as a mixture of N Gaussian surface-patches, the positions and orientations of which are readily estimated from the data. The 'intersection' of ray \mathcal{R} with 3-D patch k defines a 1-D Gaussian, and so the **occupancy density** (2) is a 1-D mixture, corresponding to the **orange curves** in fig. 1:

$$\operatorname{pr}(\mathbb{1}_{t} \mid \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{S}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k}^{N} w_{k} G((t - \mu_{k})^{2} / \sigma_{k}^{2}).$$
(4)

Here $G(x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-x/2)$, and the parameters (μ_k, σ_k) and weights w_k can all be computed directly from the known geometry.

If the scene consisted of *randomly* distributed particles, of radius ε , then it could be modelled as a volumetric Poisson distribution. It would follow that the probability of point $\mathbf{p}(t)$ being non-occluded would be $\operatorname{pr}(\varnothing_{\mathcal{C}}) \propto \exp(-\lambda |\mathcal{C}|)$, where $|\mathcal{C}| = \pi \varepsilon^2 t$ is the volume of a cylinder, which must be *empty*, between $\mathbf{p}(t)$ and the optical centre. This can be generalized to the case of non-uniformly distributed points, leading to a **vacancy density** corresponding to (1) and the **blue polygons** in fig. 1:

$$\operatorname{pr}(\varnothing_t | \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{S}) = \exp(-\eta \Lambda(t))$$
(5)

where η is a free parameter, and $\Lambda(t)$ is a generalized volume, which increases in dense regions of the point cloud. This can be defined as:

$$\Lambda(t) = \int_0^t \operatorname{pr}(\mathbb{1}_r \mid \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{S}) \,\mathrm{d}r.$$
(6)

It can be shown that $\Lambda(t)$ is a *product* of generalized step-functions. These steps are probabilistic representations of potential occluders, lying between $\mathbf{p}(t)$ and the optical centre.

Substituting (4) and (5) into (3) gives the final **visibility density**, corresponding to the **green curves** in fig. 1, along ray \mathcal{R} :

$$\operatorname{pr}\left(\multimap t \mid \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{S}\right) = \frac{\exp\left(-\eta \Lambda(t)\right)}{|\mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{S}|} \sum_{k}^{N} w_k G\left((t-\mu_k)^2 / \sigma_k^2\right).$$
(7)

The scalar $|\mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{S}|$ is a normalizing constant, representing the 'total intersection' of the ray with the probabilistic scene-model, which can be computed numerically.

The model was evaluated by computing reference visibilities in highresolution point-clouds [2], then decimating these clouds, and re-estimating the visibility of a large number of test-points. An ROC analysis was performed on the estimated vs. true states (visible/occluded) of the test points. The results indicate that the new model outperforms naive visibility tests, in addition to the theoretical contributions outlined here.

- [1] K. Lai, L. Bo, and D. Fox. Unsupervised feature learning for 3D scene labelling. In *Proc. ICRA*, 2014.
- [2] G. Schaufler and H.W. Jensen. Ray-tracing point-sampled geometry. In Proc. Eurographics Workshop on Rendering, 2000.
- [3] A. Yezzi and S. Soatto. Stereoscopic segmentation. *IJCV*, 53(1), 2003.